IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

KILEY CLAY-EL, Inmate #R00217,)	
Plaintiff,)	
VS.)	CIVIL NO. 05-718-DRH
DOCEDE WALKED ID at al)	
ROGER E. WALKER, JR., et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

HERNDON, District Judge:

This action comes before the Court upon review of the file. On October 7, 2005, the Court ordered Plaintiff to submit a prison trust fund account statement so that the Court could evaluate Plaintiff's request to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the action (Doc. 6). Plaintiff responded on October 18, 2005, stating that "it may take a while" to send his account statement because prison staff was making it difficult for him to obtain his personal property. He also stated that he had requested another copy of the statement from a counselor (Doc. 7). To date, the Court has not received a copy of Plaintiff's account statement, nor has the Court received any indication as to whether such a statement is forthcoming.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall submit, within THIRTY (30) DAYS of the date of the entry of this order, a certified copy of his prison trust fund account statement for the sixmonth period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint. Plaintiff is ADVISED that in the event he has been transferred among institutions during this six-month period, it is Plaintiff's responsibility to obtain a copy of his prison trust account statement from each such facility and to

Case 3:05-cv-00718-DRH Document 8 Filed 04/03/06 Page 2 of 2 Page ID #31

forward it to the Court. Plaintiff is **FURTHER ADVISED** that his obligation to pay the filing fee

for this action was incurred at the time the action was filed; such an obligation will exist whether

or not Plaintiff is granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); see also

Lucien v. Jockisch, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that upon conclusion of this thirty-day period, should

Plaintiff fail to comply with this order, this case will be closed for failure to comply with an order

of this Court. FED.R.CIV.P. 41(b); see generally Ladien v. Astrachan, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997);

Johnson v. Kamminga, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: April 3, 2006

/s/ David RHerndon

DISTRICT JUDGE

- 2 -